

ITEM NO.17

COURT NO.12

SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. 17/2014, I.A. 18/2015 in Civil Appeal

No(s). 4247/2012

PAWAN KUMAR & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.
(For impleading party and office report)

Respondent(s)

Date : 27/04/2015 This application was called on for hearing
today.CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. NAGAPPAN
[IN CHAMBER]

For Appellant(s)

Mr. D. N. Goburdhan, Adv.

Mr. Rishi Malhotra, Adv.
Ms. Shweta Rani, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ansar Ahmad Chaudhary, Adv.

Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Kaushal Yadav, Adv.

Mr. Prem Malhotra, Adv.

Mr. Ravindra Bana, Adv.

Mr. Vinay Garg, Adv.

Mr. Yash Pal Dhingra, Adv.

M/s. Equity Lex Associates, Adv.

Ms. Pratibha Jain, Adv.

Signature Not Verified

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Digitally signed by
Neeta Sapra
Date: 2015.04.28
16:44:54 IST

I.A. No. 17/2014 and I.A. No. 18/2014

Reason:

Heard learned counsel for the appellants, applicants
and learned counsel for the respondents in these
2

applications. The applications are not opposed. Learned
counsel for the applicants further submitted that in the
same acquisition earlier certain persons have been
impleaded as respondents in the connected matters and the

zerox copy of the order is also perused.

The applications

are allowed, as prayed for.

I.A. No. 19/2015

Learned counsel for the applicant submits that respondent nos. 4 and 5 are only proforma parties and they may be deleted. Application is allowed and respondent nos. 4 and 5 be deleted from the array of parties at the risk of the applicant.

(Neeta)
Sr. P.A.

(Tapan Kumar Chakraborty)
Court Master