



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. /2026  
@ SLP (CRL) NO.5048/2026

GANGARAM GURJAR ..... APPELLANT

VERSUS

STATE OF RAJASTHAN ..... RESPONDENT

O R D E R

1. Heard.
2. Leave granted.
3. The prosecution case originates from an alleged secret information received by Police leading to apprehension of one Vikram Singh, who was found in possession of a country-made pistol and mobile phone and based on his disclosure statement, an FIR came to be registered whereunder it was alleged that there was an organized criminal network operating within the jail premises engaged in extortion through associates from outside. Hence, the appellant came to be apprehended.
4. After investigation, chargesheet has been

filed and it is alleged in the chargesheet that appellant was a supplier of milk, curd, buttermilk to the jail inmates and allegedly transferred money to jail staff (co-accused) and for facilitating privileges to the inmates of the jail. It is an undisputed fact that out of 17 accused who have been arraigned as such, 10 have already been granted bail. The prosecution case hinges on the evidenciary link. Taking all these aspects into consideration and 13 out of 31 witnesses having been examined, continued incarceration of appellant is not warranted and imposition of strict condition by the jurisdictional Court would suffice to allay the apprehension of the prosecution even if any.

5. Hence, we allow the appeal, set aside the impugned order and appellant is ordered to be enlarged on regular bail on such terms and conditions as the jurisdictional Court deems fit including the condition of directing the appellant to appear on all dates of hearing

before the trial court and also mark his presence once in fifteen days before the Investigating Officer, i.e., 15<sup>th</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> of every calendar month till conclusion of the trial and such other conditions that trial court may deem fit to impose.

6. Pending application(s), if any, stands consigned to records.

.....J.  
(ARAVIND KUMAR)

.....J.  
(PRASANNA B. VARALE)

NEW DELHI;  
MAY 11, 2026.

ITEM NO.9

COURT NO.14

SECTION II-D

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.)  
No(s).5048/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order  
dated 25-02-2026 in SBCRMSBA No.17103/2025 passed  
by the High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan at  
Jaipur]

GANGARAM GURJAR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF RAJASTHAN

Respondent(s)

IA No. 86671/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 11-05-2026 This matter was called on for  
hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARAVIND KUMAR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASANNA B. VARALE

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Parinav Gupta, Adv.  
Mr. Pardeep Gupta, Adv.  
Mrs. Mansi Gupta, Adv.  
Mr. Harshvardhan Lodhi, Adv.  
Mr. Krishna Kumar, Adv.  
Ms. Nandini Gupta, Adv.  
Dr. Mrs. Vipin Gupta, AOR

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Saurabh Rajpal, AOR  
Mr. Vinay Kumar Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the  
following

O R D E R

Leave granted.

Criminal Appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order placed on the file.

Pending application(s), if any, stands consigned to records.

(NEHA GUPTA)  
COURT MASTER (SH)

(AVGV RAMU)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)