

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).19885/2006

(From the judgement and order dated 03/03/2006 in LPA No. 2631/2005 of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

B.R. ANAND Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

D.D.A. & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to place addl. documents on record and prayer for interim relief and office report)  
(For final disposal)

Date: 14/03/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.V. RAVEENDRAN  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK

For Petitioner(s) Mr. P.K. Ahuja, Adv.  
Mr. Raghvendra Pratap, Adv.  
Ms. Suresh Kumari, Adv.  
Mr. Sudhir Malhotra, Adv.  
Mr. Rajesh Srivastava, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Vishnu B. Saharya, Adv.  
for M/S Saharya & Co., Adv.

Mr. Mohan Jain, ASG  
Ms. Asha G. Nair, Adv  
Mr Prabhat Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Deepak Jain, Adv.  
Ms. Indira Sawhney, Adv.  
Ms. Yogita Yadav, Adv.  
Ms. Anil Katiyar, Adv.  
Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv.  
Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

Mr. V.K. Verma, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

There has been some misunderstanding on the part of DDA in following the orders of the Government of India. The Government of India has made an order dated 19.3.2009 directing the DDA to consider the case of the petitioner as a physically handicapped blind person running an institution for the blind.

.....2.

- 2 -

As the petitioner in the SLP is an individual (B.R. Anand), the DDA has assumed the allotment should be in favour of an individual for residential purpose.

The order of this Court on 9.1.2009 and the order of Government of India was for allotment of a suitable plot to an institution for blind of which petitioner was the

President. The allotment was to be made for the use of such institution and not for the personal benefit of the petitioner. The petitioner states that the institution in regard to which allotment is sought, is a registered society known as Popular Institute for the Blind.

Therefore, instead of the proposal to allot a residential plot, the DDA may consider allotting a plot to the society for a charitable purpose, that is, for the benefit of blind as per Rules at the applicable rates. Before allotment, DDA may satisfy itself about the genuineness and existence of the Society and its objects.

Mr. Saharya, learned counsel for DDA submitted that the DDA will identify two or three plots which could be offered and the petitioner may choose one of them.

Adjourned by four weeks.  
(Ravi P. Verma) (M.S. Negi)  
Court Master Court Master