

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).10384/2006

(From the judgement and order dated 24/08/2005 in CWP No. 4483/1990
of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

PATIALA IMPROVEMENT TRUST THR. CHAIRMAN

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SEWA RAM & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

SLP(C) NO. 10494 of 2006
SLP(C) NO. 10491 of 2006
SLP(C) NO. 10586 of 2006
SLP(C) NO. 10587 of 2006
SLP(C) NO. 10588 of 2006
SLP(C) NO. 10591 of 2006
SLP(C) NO. 10595 of 2006
SLP(C) NO. 10615 of 2006
SLP(C) NO. 10699 of 2006
SLP(C) NO. 11717 of 2006
SLP(C) NO. 10739 of 2006
SLP(C) NO. 10764 of 2006
SLP(C) NO. 11156 of 2006
SLP(C) NO. 11725 of 2006
SLP(C) NO. 14127 of 2006
SLP(C) NO. 14101 of 2006
SLP(C) NO. 14049 of 2006
SLP(C) NO. 14160 of 2006
SLP(C) NO. 14102 of 2006
SLP(C) NO. 14104 of 2006
SLP(C) NO. 13352 of 2006

Date: 01/11/2006 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. T.N. Singh,Adv.

Mr. H.K. Puri,Adv.

Ms. Rekha Pandey,Adv.

Ms. S. Janani,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Learned Advocate, Mr. T.N. Singh for the petitioner

is complaining about the non-receipt of the office report.

This is happening on daily basis that several Advocates,

-2-

when not received office reports, not in a position to take

further steps in the matter. Repeatedly it was asked to the

Advocates to show the provisions regarding how to serve the

office reports to them so as to direct the office

accordingly. Unfortunately, till date I have not found any

Advocate coming forward with any such rules and most of the

Advocates are pressing that since there is a practice to

serve the copy of the office report to them to their offices

within the radius of 16 kilometers of SC, there is no need of

rule for this Court to pass such order directing the office

to serve copy of the office report to them. There may be

practice of sending such office reports to the concerned

Advocates on record. However, whenever the order is required

to be passed on paper directing the office to do any act it

is certainly necessary to verify the jurisdiction and power

of the officer passing such order as well as the rules, that

may be required to be followed for such practice. Since the

view of the Bar, in general is such, that this is not a Court, but Registrar's Court for procedures only, they do not want to argue anything or to help such courts even by referring the rules and they are afraid of bringing of rules before this Court even after repeated request, office is directed to place before me the appropriate rules, any circulars regarding the practice and procedures so far as supplying the office reports to the Advocates on record by office to the address of advocates are concerned at the earliest in any case not before 13th November, 2006.

The matters to be list on 21st November, 2006.

(S.G.SHAH)

Registrar

