

SLP(Crl.) No. 3936 of 2011:

Heard.

We see no reason to interfere with the impugned order. The special leave petition is dismissed. We however leave it open to the petitioners to urge all questions on facts and in law before the competent Court at the stage of framing of charges.

T.P.(C) 1115 of 2012 and T.P.(Crl.) No. 311 of 2012:

The transfer petitions are dismissed in terms of the signed order.

SLP(Crl.) No. 3509 of 2012 and SLP(Crl.) No. 1416-1417 of 2012:

Ms.Geeta Luthra, learned senior counsel for the respondent seeks time to file counter affidavit. She may do the needful within four weeks. Rejoinder, if any, be filed within four weeks thereafter. Post for hearing on 18.02.2014 at 2.00 P.M.

| (Shashi Sareen)
| Court Master

| | (Veena Khara)
| | Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL/CRIMINAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (C) No. 1115 OF 2012

AND

TRANSFER PETITION (CRL.) No. 311 of 2012

| AMIT BHANDARI

| ... | Petitioner(s)

Versus

| DEEKSHA SETHI

... | . | Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard.

We see no reason to direct transfer of the pending maintenance case from Delhi to Pune as prayed for especially when the respondent is unable to maintain herself and despite the order passed by the trial court directing maintenance @ ` 7,500/- p.m. not a penny has been paid to her till date. The transfer petitions are accordingly dismissed. We would however add that keeping in view the medical condition of the petitioner the trial court may consider providing legal aid to the petitioner if a request

for the same is made by him in accordance with the prevailing Rules.

.....J.
(T.S.THAKUR)

.....J.
(VIKRAMAJIT SEN)

New Delhi,
October 29, 2013