

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

IA 3/2013 in Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)
No(s).24597/2011

(From the judgement and order dated 23/03/2010 in WP No.6153/2008 of The
HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK)

STATE OF ORISSA & ANR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M/S DAS AGENCY & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for deletion of the name of respondent)

WITH IA NO. 3 IN SLP(C) NO. 24598/2011

(With appln(s) for deletion of the name of respondent)

IA NO. 3 IN SLP(C) NO. 24600/2011

(With appln(s) for deletion of the name of respondent)

IA NO. 3 IN SLP(C) NO. 24601/2011

(With appln(s) for deletion of the name of respondent)

IA NO. 3 IN SLP(C) NO. 24602/2011

(With appln(s) for deletion of the name of respondent)

IA NO. 3 IN SLP(C) NO. 24603/2011

(With appln(s) for deletion of the name of respondent)

IA NO. 3 IN SLP(C) NO. 24604/2011

(With appln(s) for deletion of the name of respondent)

IA NO. 3 IN SLP(C) NO. 24605/2011

(With appln(s) for deletion of the name of respondent)

IA NO. 3 IN SLP(C) NO. 24606/2011

(With appln(s) for deletion of the name of respondent)

IA NO. 3 IN SLP(C) NO. 24609/2011

(With appln(s) for deletion of the name of respondent)

IA NO. 3 IN SLP(C) NO. 24610/2011

(With appln(s) for deletion of the name of respondent)

IA NO. 3 IN SLP(C) NO. 24611/2011

(With appln(s) for deletion of the name of respondent)

IA NO. 3 IN SLP(C) NO. 24613/2011

(With appln(s) for deletion of the name of respondent)

IA NO. 3 IN SLP(C) NO. 24614/2011

(With appln(s) for deletion of the name of respondent)

Date: 27/01/2014 These appnls. were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. GOPALA GOWDA
(In Chambers)

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Shibashish Misra, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Mini Kaushik, Adv.
Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv.

Mr. Kedar Nath Tripathy, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the respondent no.2 on whose
instance the application seeking deletion of name of Union of India
from the array of parties has been filed.

Respondent no. 2 is a necessary party to decide the question that arises in these cases. The name of respondent no. 2 cannot be deleted.

Accordingly, IA No. 3 is rejected.

(NAVEEN KUMAR)
COURT MASTER

(S.S.R. KRISHNA)
COURT MASTER