

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s).338/2026

JAI CHAND

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 86014/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 21-05-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOYMALYA BAGCHI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPUL M. PANCHOLIFor Petitioner(s) :Mr. Sharad Raghav, Adv.  
Mr. Deepak Goel, AOR  
Mr. Shailesh Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

1. The petitioner submits that an injunction decree was passed in favour of his father on 01.09.1988 in Original Suit No.209/1987 against Noida. The petitioner has further alleged that execution proceedings in respect to the said decree has remained pending for last 40 years, and no effective steps have been taken by the Executing Court. It seems that the petitioner and his father had approached the High Court, whereupon directions for expeditious disposal of the execution proceedings were issued.

2. In our considered view, no case to entertain the instant writ petition under Article 32 of the Constitution of India is made out. The petitioner, if so advised, may approach the High Court and seek time-bound directions for disposal of the execution proceedings. We

have no reason to doubt that the High Court will look into the aspect of inordinate delay and other relevant factors before passing appropriate directions.

3. The Writ Petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

4. All pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(ARJUN BISHT)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(PREETHI DILEEP KUMAR)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR