

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).3335/2006

(From the judgement and order dated 10/02/2006 in WP No. 10277/2005 of
The HIGH COURT OF A.P AT HYDERABAD)

SUPDT.OF POLICE & ORS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DASARI LAKSHMI AND ORS

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay,exemption from filing c/c of the impugned order,permission
to file additional documents and office report)

Date: 10/09/2007 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.H. KAPADIA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Anoop G.Chaudhary,Sr.Adv.
Mrs.D. Bharathi Reddy,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. D.Bharat Kum,ar,Adv.
Mr. M.Indrani,Adv.
Mr. Anand,Adv.
Mr. Abhijit Sengupta,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

Leave granted.
Despite notice none appears for respondent No.1.
Appeal is allowed with no order as to costs.

(Suman Wadhwa)
Court Master

(Madhu Saxena)
Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file.
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. OF 2007
(Arising out of SLP(Cr.)No.3335/2006)

SUPDT. OF POLICE & ORS.

.. APPELLANTS

vs.

DASARI LAKSHMI AND ORS.

.. RESPONDENTS

ORDER

Leave granted.

Despite notice none appears for respondent No.1.

Having heard learned counsel for the Superintendent of Police

and others we are of the view that the High court was right in directing the police concerned to produce the detenue as and when found. However, in the light of the facts and circumstances of this case there was no necessity to issue any directions to the Director General of Police, Andhra Pradesh to initiate enquiry against officials in-charge of investigation of the case for not producing the detenue. To this extent the second part of the impugned order stands set aside.

Appeal is accordingly allowed with no order as to costs.

(S.H. KAPADIA)J.

.....J.
(B.SUDERSHAN REDDY)

NEW DELHI;
SEPTEMBER 10, 2007.