

x"

ITEM NO.48

COURT NO.12

SECTION XVIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 572 OF 2011

SARIKA KUMARI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAJEEV KUMAR

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for directions,stay and office report))

WITH T.P.(CRL) NO. 245 of 2011

(With appln.(s) for ad-interim ex-parte stay and office report)

T.P.(CRL) NO. 274 of 2011

(With appln.(s) for stay and urging additional grounds and office report)

Date: 11/01/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL R. DAVE

For Petitioner(s)

TP(C)572/11

Mr. Shishir Pinaki, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Jain,Adv.

Mr. Vinay Arora, Adv.

TP(Crl)245/11 &

Mr. Shishir Pinaki, Adv.

TP(Crl)274/11

Mr. Samir Ali Khan, adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Annam D.N. Rao,Adv.

Ms. Mansha Monga, Adv.

Mr. A. Venkatesh, Adv.

Mr. Chandan Kumar, Adv.

for Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the parties.

The transfer petitions are dismissed.

Earlier we had dismissed the transfer petitions.
However, at the end of the Board, learned

counsel for the petitioners has again made a request that the matters may be adjourned as now, he seeks to persuade his client to reconsider the amount offered by the respondent-husband, for settlement of the entire dispute.

In the interest of justice, our earlier order dismissing the transfer petitions is recalled. The transfer petitions are adjourned for two weeks.

(Sukhbir Paul Kaur)
Court Master

(Indu Bala Kapur)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION(C) NO. 572 OF 2011

|Sarika Kumari

|Appellant(s)

|

Versus

|Rajeev Kumar

|Respondent(s)

|

W I T H

TRANSFER PETITION(CRL) NO. 274 OF 2011

TRANSFER PETITION(CRL) NO. 245 OF 2011

O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the parties.
The transfer petitions are dismissed.

.....J.

(SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR)

.....J.
(ANIL R. DAVE)

Earlier we had dismissed the transfer petitions. However, at the end of the Board, learned counsel for the petitioners has again made a request that the matters may be adjourned as now, he seeks to persuade his client to reconsider the amount offered by the respondent-husband, for settlement of the entire dispute.

...2/-

-2-

In the interest of justice, our earlier order dismissing the transfer petitions is recalled. The transfer petitions are adjourned for two weeks.

.....J.
(SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR)

.....J.
(ANIL R. DAVE)

New Delhi,
January 11, 2013