

MUNICIPAL COUNCIL BATALA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

URMIL KANTA & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

In spite of specific order passed on 04.09.2013,
the appellant has not deposited the costs.

Learned counsel appearing for the appellant
submits that the appellant is not in touch with the
counsel.

The present Civil Appeal is, accordingly,
dismissed for want of prosecution.

NEW DELHI
JANUARY 19, 2015

.....J.
(A.K. SIKRI)

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by
Rajesh Dham
Date: 2015.01.24
11:40:14 IST
Reason:
ITEM NO.38

COURT NO.14

SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

Civil Appeal No(s). 3000/2008

MUNICIPAL COUNCIL BATALA

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

URMIL KANTA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(office report on default)

Date : 19/01/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRI
[IN CHAMBER]

For Appellant(s)

Ms. Ruby Singh Ahuja, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. K.K. Mahalik, Adv.
 Mr. Kuldip Singh, Adv.

 Mr. Ritesh Khatri, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Civil Appeal is dismissed for want of
prosecution in terms of the signed order.

(RAJESH DHAM)
COURT MASTER

(SUNEET MAHAJAN)
COURT MASTER

(signed order is placed on the file)