

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).10094/2006

(From the judgement and order dated 22/05/2006 in WP No.2844/2006
of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

JIASHREE BINDAL & ORS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS

Respondent(s)

[With appln(s) for permission to place addl. documents on record, with
prayer for interim relief and office report][For final disposal]

Date: 18/05/2007 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Indu Malhotra, Adv.

Mrs. Shashi M. Kapila, Adv.

Mr. Kunal Tondon, Adv.

Mr. Himanshu Prabhakar, Adv.

Mr. Vikas Mehta, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Rakesh Dwivedi, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Ranjeet Kumar, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Nidhesh Gupta, Adv.

Ms. S. Janani, Adv.

Mr. Manjit Singh, Addl.A.G., Haryana

Mr. Harikesh Singh, Adv.

Mr. T.V. George, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed order.

(Subhash Chander)

Court Master

(Pushap Lata Bhardwaj)

Court Master

[Signed Order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. OF 2007

[Arising out of S.L.P.(C)No.10094 of 2006]

Jiashree Bindal & Ors.

.....Appellants

Versus

State of Haryana & Ors.

.....Respondents

O R D E R

Leave granted.

The impugned order is an interim order. By reason of the impugned order, the High Court, while admitting the writ petition filed by the appellants herein, directed:

"Construction if any raised by respondents 4 and 5 shall abide by the final decision of the case."

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and keeping in view the controversy involved in the matter, we are of the opinion that the interest of justice would be served if the writ petition itself is directed to be heard expeditiously and preferably within a period of one month from the date of communication of this order.

We, therefore, request the High Court, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, to consider the desirability of disposing of the main writ petition itself within one month. We place it on record that we have not applied our mind to the merits

of the matter and all contentions of the parties shall remain open.

Mr. Dwivedi, learned senior counsel appearing for the

respondents, states that during the pendency of the writ petition

before the High Court, no construction shall be made. Ms. Indu

Malhotra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant

assures that no adjournment shall be taken and parties will co-

operate in the expeditious disposal of the writ petition by the High

Court.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

.....J.

[S.B. SINHA]

.....J.

[MARKANDEY KATJU]

New Delhi.

May 18, 2007.