

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRL.M.P. NO.10803/2010 IN CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 288 OF 2009

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

INDER MOHAN CHOPRA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for rectification of typographical mistake in
Order dated 12.2.2009 and office report)

Date: 25/08/2010

This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE MUKUNDKAM SHARMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL R. DAVE

For Appellant(s)

Mrs.Rachana Joshi Issar,Adv.
Ms.Nidhi Tiwari,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ashok Mathur,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

This is an application praying for rectification of clerical mistake in the judgment passed by this Court on 12.2.2009.

We have perused the application and also the judgment. Their appears to be a mistake in paragraph 2, page 2 of the judgment. In place of Section 2(k) of the Act in the said paragraph Section "2(w)" should be read.

-2-

There is another clerical mistake when it was recorded regarding expression 'Person'. The last part of the said expression as recorded "body of persons whether incorporated or not" should be deleted and in its place what should be recorded is "an incorporated body of persons". The aforesaid mistakes are purely of clerical nature and they are corrected accordingly.

In terms of the aforesaid order, the Crl.M.P stands disposed of. This order shall be read as part of the judgment.

(KUSUM SYAL)
SR.PA

(RENU DIWAN)
COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION NO. 10803 OF 2010

IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 288 OF 2009

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

INDER MOHAN CHOPRA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

This is an application praying for rectification of clerical mistake in the judgment passed by this Court on 12.2.2009.

We have perused the application and also the judgment. Their appears to be a mistake in paragraph 2, page 2 of the judgment. In place of Section 2(k) of the Act in the said paragraph Section "2(w)" should be read.

There is another clerical mistake when it was recorded regarding expression 'Person'. The last part of the said expression as recorded "body of persons whether incorporated or not" should be deleted and in its place what should be recorded is "an incorporated body of persons". The aforesaid mistakes are purely of clerical nature and they are corrected accordingly.

-2-

In terms of the aforesaid order, the CrI.M.P stands disposed of. This order shall be read as part of the judgment.

.....J
(DR.MUKUNDAKAM SHARMA)

.....J
(ANIL R.DAVE)

NEW DELHI
AUGUST 25, 2010