

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).4362/2011

(From the judgement and order dated 03/02/2011 in CRLP No.4204/2010 of The HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE)

R.SRINIVASAN

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

VAISHNAVI

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay,direction/stay and office report)

Date: 30/11/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RANJANA PRAKASH DESAI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. P.R. Kovilan Poongkuntran, Adv.  
For Ms Geetha Kovilan,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Balaji Srinivasan,Adv.  
Mr. Ashok B. Patil, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

This case has been adjourned a number of times to enable the parties to come to a settlement.

Counsel for the petitioner states that on November 26, 2012 when both the petitioner and the respondent were present in court, his client made the following offer to the respondent :

He would purchase and give a two-bedroom flat to her in the city of Bangalore. He would also bear the full expenses of their son's education and upbringing. The condition, however, is that she should agree to divorce by mutual consent.

...2/-

-2-

Further term of the settlement, as offered by the petitioner, is withdrawal of all cases instituted by one side against the other, excepting the suit filed on behalf of their son for partition of the ancestral property.

To us the offer appears to be reasonable but for some reason it is not accepted by the respondent.

Counsel for the respondent, however, assured that he would once again try to persuade the respondent either to accept the offer or to come with some other offer for the settlement of their dispute.

As prayed on behalf of the respondent put up on January 28, 2013.

|  
|Sr.P.A.

|(Neetu Khajuria)

|Court Master

|(Sneh Bala Mehra)

|