

ITEM NO.21

COURT NO.3

SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).12826/2011

(From the judgement and order dated 20/04/2011 in WP No. 21423/2010
of The HIGH COURT OF MADRAS)

M.MURALI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

VANITHA RANI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned
Judgment, PERMISSION TO FILE LENGTHY LIST OF DATES and prayer for
interim relief)

Date: 12/05/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.V. RAVEENDRAN
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK

For Petitioner(s) Mr. L. Nageshwar Rao, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. K. Swami, Adv.
 Mr. Nikhil Swami, Adv.
 Mrs. Prabha Swami, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. V. Kanagaraj, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Adv.
 Mr. S.J. Aristotle, Adv.
 Mr. Vipin Kumar Jai, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard Mr. L. Nageshwar Rao, learned senior counsel
for the petitioner and Mr. V. Kanagaraj, learned senior
counsel for respondents 1 to 3.

2

.....2.

3

We find no reason to interfere under Article 136
of the Constitution of India as the High Court has made
it clear that if and when notices are to be issued by the
Corporation or by other authority, they should proceed in
accordance with law and the petitioner herein should have
an opportunity to meet such notices.

Therefore, we dismiss this special leave petition
but make it clear that if and when any notice is issued
or action is taken against the petitioner by any of the

authorities, that is the Municipal Corporation, Pollution Control Board or Fire Force, the petitioner will be entitled to file objections and satisfy the concerned authority that he has not violated any rule or law and such authority will then decide the issue (subject matter of notice) uninfluenced by the observations of the High Court.

(Ravi P. Verma)
Court Master

(M.S. Negi)
Court Master