

ITEM NO.111(MM)

COURT NO.8

SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 6962 OF 2005

R.K. MITTAL & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T., impleadment and office report)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 24029 of 2005

Civil Appeal NO. 6963 of 2005

SLP(C) NO. 9150 of 2007

(With appln. For permission to file additional documents, prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 25/11/2009

This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARJIT SINGH BEDI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.M. PANCHAL

For Appearing Parties(s)

Mr. Jitendra Mohan Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain, Adv.

For M/S Manoj Swarup & Co., Adv.

Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Shrish Kumar Misra, Adv.

Ms. Shiel Sethi, Adv.

Ms. Rachana Joshi Issar, Adv.

Mr. Vinay Kumar Garg, Adv.

Mr. Himanshu Munshi, Adv.

C.A. No. 6962/2005

Mr. Pahlad Singh Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain, Adv.

Mr. Vishwajit Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

On a request made by the learned counsel for the appellants, the matters stand adjourned.

(KALYANI GUPTA)
SR. P.A.

(MITHELSH GUPTA)
COURT MASTER

C.A. No. 6962/2005

3

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1143 OF 2004

TEJ SINGH	APPELLANT
VERSUS		
PRABHU NARAYAN SHARMA	RESPONDENT

O R D E R

The findings arrived at by the trial court as well as the High Court is against the appellant.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties at length, we are of the opinion that no interference is called for in the matter.

The appeal is dismissed.

.....J
[HARJIT SINGH BEDI]

.....J
[J.M. PANCHAL]

NEW DELHI
NOVEMBER 25, 2009.