

ITEM NO.101

COURT NO.11

SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 6962 OF 2005

R.K. MITTAL & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T.,impleadment and office report)

WITH
Civil Appeal NO. 6963 of 2005
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 24029 of 2005
(With appln. for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)
SLP(C) NO. 9150 of 2007
(With appln. for permission to file additional documents and office report)

Date: 27/01/2011 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA

For Appellant(s) Mr. Jitendra Mohan Sharma,Adv.
Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain, Adv.
Mr. Manoj Swarup, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Swarup, Adv.
For M/S Manoj Swarup & Co.
Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ravindra Kumar,Adv.
Mr. Shrish Kumar Misra ,Adv
Mrs Shiel Sethi ,Adv
Mrs.Rachana Joshi Issar ,Adv
Mr. Vivek Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Neeraj Kr. Sharma, Adv.
For Mr Vinay Kumar Garg ,Adv.
Mr. Himanshu Munshi ,Adv
Mr. Pahlad Singh Sharma ,Adv
Mr. P.S. Patwalia, Sr. Adv.
Mr. R. Singh, Adv.
Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain, Adv.
Mr. A.K. Jain, adv.
Mr. P. Jain, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Put up after four weeks.

In the meanwhile, counsel for respondent Nos. 2 and 3 in C.A. No.6962 of 2005 is directed to file an affidavit giving a complete list of cases in Sector 19, NOIDA, of user of plots in deviation of the use of land stipulated in the lease deed, in other words, instances of houses built up on residential plots, but being used for other commercial purposes such as being rented out to Bank and similar other commercial purposes.

Needless to say that the affidavit would also state whether the deviant user was unauthorized or with the sanction of the authorities.

(Neetu Khajuria)
Sr.P.A.

(S.S.R. Krishna)
Court Master

ITEM NO.101

COURT NO.11

SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 6962 OF 2005

R.K. MITTAL & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T.,impleadment and office report))

WITH
Civil Appeal NO. 6963 of 2005
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 24029 of 2005
(With appln. for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)
SLP(C) NO. 9150 of 2007
(With appln. for permission to file additional documents and office report)

Date: 27/01/2011 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA

For Appellant(s) Mr. Jitendra Mohan Sharma,Adv.

Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain, Adv.

Mr. Manoj Swarup, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Swarup, Adv.
For M/S Manoj Swarup & Co.

Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ravindra Kumar,Adv.
Mr. Shrish Kumar Misra ,Adv
Mrs Shiel Sethi ,Adv
Mrs.Rachana Joshi Issar ,Adv

Mr. Vivek Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Neeraj Kr. Sharma, Adv.
For Mr Vinay Kumar Garg ,Adv.

Mr. Himanshu Munshi ,Adv
Mr. Pahlad Singh Sharma ,Adv

Mr. P.S. Patwalia, Sr. Adv.
Mr. R. Singh, Adv.
Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain, Adv.
Mr. A.K. Jain, adv.
Mr. P. Jain, Adv.

-2-

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Put up after four weeks.

In the meanwhile, counsel for respondent
Nos. 2 and 3 in C.A. No.6962 of 2005 is directed to
file an affidavit giving a complete list of cases
of user of plots in deviation of the use of land
stipulated in the lease deed, in other words,
instances of houses built up on residential plots,
but being used for other commercial purposes such
as being rented out to Bank and similar other
commercial purposes.

Needless to say that the affidavit would
also state whether the deviant user was
unauthorized or with the sanction of the
authorities.

(Neetu Khajuria)
Sr.P.A.

(S.S.R. Krishna)
Court Master