

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 6962 OF 2005

R.K. MITTAL & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T. and office report))

WITH

Civil Appeal NO. 6963 of 2005

(With appln. for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 24029 of 2005

(With appln. for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 9150 of 2007

(With appln. for permission to file additional documents,
prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 14/09/2011 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Anoop G. Chaudhari, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Jitendra Mohan Sharma, Adv.Mr. C. Mukund, Adv.
Mr. Ashok Jain, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Bansal, Adv.
Mr. Pankaj Jain, Adv.
Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain, Adv.None for
M/S Manoj Swarup & Co.Mr. Rahul Raj, Adv.
For Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Adv.(NP)

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Rahul Raj, Adv.
For Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Adv.(NP)

Mr. Shrish Kumar Misra ,Adv

Mrs Shiel Sethi ,Adv

Mrs.Rachana Joshi Issar ,Adv
Ms. Nidhi Tewari, Adv.
Mr. Ambreen Rasool, Adv.Mr. Vivek Sharma, Adv.
For Mr Vinay Kumar Garg ,Adv

...2/-

-2-

Mr. Himanshu Munshi ,Adv

Mr. Pahlad Singh Sharma ,Adv

Mr. C. Mukund, Adv.
Mr. Ashok Jain, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Bansal, Adv.
Mr. Pankaj Jain, Adv.
Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Mr. Rahul Raj, Advocate appears and submits that
arguing counsel on behalf of respondent no.2 - New
Okhla Industrial Development Authority (NOIDA)- is
indisposed. He prays for time.
Stand over for two weeks.

(Neetu Khajuria)
Sr.P.A.

(S.S.R. Krishna)
Court Master