

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGSIA Nos. 27-38 in
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 6962 OF 2005

R.K. MITTAL & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln. for permission to file appln. for intervention,
intervention, directions, impleadment, modification and office
report)

Date: 09/01/2012 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RANJANA PRAKASH DESAI

Counsel for the parties

Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Vikas Singh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Kapur, Adv.
Mr. Nikhil Danak, Adv.
Ms. Ashmi Mohan, adv.
Mr. Pankaj Pandey, Adv
Mr. Ashish Mahajan, Adv.Mr. P.S. Patwalia, Sr. Adv.
Mr. J.M. Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Sandeep Singh, Adv.
Mr. Aneesh Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Vibhor Verdhan, Adv.Mr. Vivek Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Neeraj Kr. Sharma, Adv.
for Mr. V.K. Garg, Adv.Ms. Lalita Kohli, Adv.
for M/s. Manoj Swarup & Co.

.....2/-

: 2 :

Dr. Rajiv Dhawan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Prashant Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Joseph, Adv.

Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Adv. (NP)

Mrs. Shiel Sethi, Adv.

M/s. AP & J Chambers,

Mr. Shrish Kumar Misra, Adv.

Mr. Pahlad.S. Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Aprasad, Adv.

Mr. Himanshu Munshi, Adv.

Mrs. Rachana Joshi Issar, Adv. (NP)

Mr. Navin Chawla, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The above applications are applications for intervention, directions and for certain clarifications of the judgment of this Court dated December 05, 2011.

Various learned senior advocates appearing for the applicants have informed us that they had given the copies of these applications to the counsel appearing for the NOIDA authority well in advance.

When the Court assembled for hearing of these applications, nobody was present for the NOIDA authority. We requested the learned counsel for the applicants to inform and send a message for the counsel appearing for the NOIDA authority, which was done.

.....3/-

: 3 :

We have waited for more than twenty minutes for the learned counsel appearing for the NOIDA authority. However, neither he has appeared nor anybody on his behalf has even cared to be present before the Court to make submissions or tell us the stand of the authority in response to these applications.

Faced with this situation and for proper adjudication of these applications, there is no option for this Court but to direct the Chief Executive Officer of the NOIDA authority to be personally present in this Court with complete records, showing as to what steps have been taken by the NOIDA authority in furtherance of the judgment of this Court.

Stand over for two weeks.

The time prescribed under the judgment shall stand extended by two weeks.

(N.S.K. Kamesh)
Court Master

(Sneh Bala Mehra)
Court Master