

13

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
I.A. NOS.65, 71 and 74 OF 2013
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO.6962 OF 2005

R.K. MITTAL & ORS.APPELLANTS

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ORS. ...RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

I.A. NO.71 OF 2013 IN CA NO.6962 OF 2005

In view of the letter circulated by learned counsel for the applicant seeking adjournment on the ground of personal difficulty, list the application on 26.08.2013.

I.A. NOS.65 & 74 OF 2013 IN CA NO.6962 OF 2005

Mr. Gaurav Sarin, learned counsel for the applicant states that the premises i.e. C-82, Sector-30, Noida (U.P.), were leased out to the applicant by respondent no.1/New Okhla Industrial Development Authority (for short the 'Authority) vide lease deed dated 18.07.1987.

He further states that vide office order dated 20.06.2011, the said lease deed was cancelled. However, upon inspection of the said premises and upon perusal of the evidence and documents submitted by the applicant, respondent no.2 vide his office order dated 21.05.2013, revoked the earlier order of revocation of lease as the Authority was satisfied that the said premises are being used for residential purpose only.

Mr. Ravindra Kumar, learned counsel for the respondents confirms the above statement.

In view of this, learned counsel for the applicant seeks permission to withdraw these interlocutory applications.

Permission, as prayed for, is granted.

Interlocutory Application Nos.65 & 74 of 2013 are disposed of as withdrawn.

.....J
[RANJANA PRAKASH DESAI]

.....J
[KURIAN JOSEPH]

New Delhi;
August 08, 2013.

ITEM NO.301

COURT NO.10

SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
I.A. Nos.65, 71 and 74
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO.6962 OF 2005

R.K. MITTAL & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for impleadment and directions and office report)

Date: 08/08/2013 These applications were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RANJANA PRAKASH DESAI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

For applicant (s)

Mr. Anurag Dubey, Adv.
Mr. Meenesh Dubey, Adv.
Ms. Anu Swahney, Adv.
For S.R. Setia, Adv.

Mr. Gaurav Sarin, Adv.
Mr. Faisal Sherwani, Adv.
Mr. Utsave Tirivedi, Adv.
For M/S. Parekh & Co.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Jitendra Mohan Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Adv.

Mr. K.L. Taneja, Adv.

Mr. Lakshmi Raman Singh, Adv.

Mr. M.C. Dhingra, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Prasad, Adv.

Mr. P. Parmeswaran, Adv.

Mr. Navin Chawla, Adv.

Mr. Shrish Kumar Misra, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Kapur, Adv.

Mr. M.T. George, Adv.

Mr. O.P. Gaggar, Adv.

Mrs. Rachana Joshi Issar, Adv.

Mr Vinay Kumar Garg, Adv.

M/s AP & J Chambers, Adv.

Mr. Himanshu Munshi, Adv.
Mr. Naresh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. T. Mahipal, Adv.
Mr. Arun Kumar Beriwal, Adv.
Mr. Rajesh Goyal, Adv.
M/S. Dua Associates
Ms. Garima Prashad, Adv.
Mr. Pahlad Singh Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Chandan Kumar Rai, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Kaushik, Adv.
Mr. Arjun Garg, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

I.A. NO.71 OF 2013 IN CA NO.6962 OF 2005

In view of the letter circulated by learned counsel for the applicant seeking adjournment on the ground of personal difficulty, list the application on 26.08.2013.

I.A. NOS.65 & 74 OF 2013 IN CA NO.6962 OF 2005

Mr. Gaurav Sarin, learned counsel for the applicant states that the premises i.e. C-82, Sector-30, Noida (U.P.), were leased out to the applicant by respondent no.1/New Okhla Industrial Development Authority (for short the 'Authority) vide lease deed dated 18.07.1987.

He further states that vide office order dated 20.06.2011, the said lease deed was cancelled. However, upon inspection of the said premises and upon perusal of the evidence and documents submitted by the applicant, respondent no.2 vide his office order dated 21.05.2013, revoked the earlier order of revocation of lease as the Authority was satisfied that the said premises are being used for residential purpose only.

Mr. Ravindra Kumar, learned counsel for the respondents confirms the above statement.

In view of this, learned counsel for the applicant seeks permission to withdraw these interlocutory applications.

Permission, as prayed for, is granted.

Interlocutory Application Nos.65 & 74 of 2013 are disposed of as withdrawn.

(SANJAY KUMAR)

COURT MASTER

(INDU SATIJA)

COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)