

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR B. SUDHEENDRA KUMAR

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).3527/2005

BLOSSOM

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

ABRAHAM MATHEW & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for substituted service and office report)

Date: 08/09/2006 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr.Senthil Jagadeesan,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. R. Sathish, Adv.

Mr. M.T. George,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The learned counsel for the petitioner has filed an application praying for

effecting service on unserved respondent No.1 by way of publication in the newspapers.

Heard. I have also perused the application.

It is borne out from the application that the notice could not be served on the

above respondent under normal mode of service despite the earnest efforts taken in this

regard. I am satisfied from the averments in the application that notice could not be served

on the above respondent under any normal mode of service. 'The New Indian Express' is an

English daily and 'Malayala Manorama' is a Malayalam daily having wide circulation in the

place of residence of the respondent. Therefore, I am inclined to allow this application with a

further direction that the notice be

Item No.63

-2-

served on the above respondent by affixation on his last known address as well.

In the result, this application stands allowed and it is ordered that notice on the

above respondent be served by publication in 'The New Indian Express' and 'Malayala

Manorama' dailies. The process for publication shall be handed over to the petitioner who

shall file affidavit with proof of publication along with the newspapers within four weeks.

The process for affixation shall be sent from the office directly to the District Judge

concerned.

List again on 13.10.2006.

ra Kumar)

(B. Sudheend

Registrar

rd

