

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

TRANSFER PETITION (CRL.) NO(s). 345-346 OF 2008

RAJPAL SINGH Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for amendment of the petition,stay)

Date: 15/07/2010 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr Thokchom, Adv.  
Mr.Khwairakpam Nobin Singh,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg,Adv.  
Mr Rajeev K Dubey, Adv.  
Mr. Kamlendra Mishra ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Issue fresh notice.

Dasti service is allowed on unserved respondent No.8,  
permitted to be served through the concerned investigating  
agency.

State authorities are permitted to be served through  
the standing counsels.

Registry has to be careful in disclosing the number  
of unserved respondents.

In view of the fact that though all the respondents  
are residing in the same village and probably in the same  
house, serving agency has noted endorsement on the cover  
that it could not be serve respondent No.8 only because of  
improper address, which fact is not correct in view of the  
position that all are residing at the same place.

Registry has to take appropriate steps against

serving agency for making improper statement.

If necessary, letter to be addressed to the Post Master General and the concerned Post Office that why contradictory endorsement is made on registered cover though addresses of all respondents is common.

Respondent No.1 is directed to instruct the investigating officer to effect service on unserved respondent No.9 at the earliest.

For the purpose, notice to be forwarded to the concerned investigating officer for effecting service as confirmed.

List again on 31.8.2010.

(S.G. SHAH)  
Registrar

hj