

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1933 OF 2011  
ARISING OUT OF  
Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No .3832/2011

RAJ KUMAR

Appellant

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

Respondent

ORDER

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Leave granted.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also taking an overall view, we are of the opinion that in this matter justice would be done by upholding the conviction but reducing the sentence to the period already undergone by the appellant.

We accordingly allow the appeal to the extent indicated above.

.....J.  
(ASOK KUMAR GANGULY)

.....J.  
(GYAN SUDHA MISRA)

NEW DELHI,  
14-10-2011  
ITEM NO.56

COURT NO.9

SECTION IIB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).3832/2011

(From the judgement and order dated 22/02/2011 in CRLA No.32-SB/2002  
of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

RAJ KUMAR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA  
(With appln(s) for bail)

Respondent(s)

