

â
R.P(C)No. 1049 OF 2000
.UP 10 2; Draft, smtst; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R
ITEM NO.301 COURT NO.9 SECTION XIIA
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Review Petition(Civil) No.1049/2000 in SLP(Civil)No.13464/1988

A. ACHAMA (D) BY LRS. Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

STATE TRANSPORT APPELLATE TRIBUNAL & ORS Respondent (s)
(With Office Report)

Date : 04/05/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.P. MOHAPATRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.N. PHUKAN

For petr. Mr. N.D.B.Raju,adv.
Mrs. Bharathi Raju,adv.
Mr. Goodwill Indeevar,adv.

For res. Mr. T.V.Ratnam,adv.
Mr. K.Subba Rao,adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Review Petition is disposed of.

.SP1 (Suman Wadhwa) (S.Malkani)
Court Master Court Master
Signed order is placed on the file.

.PA
.PL55

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
REVIEW PETITION NO. 1049 OF 2000@@
CC

IN

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) NO. 13464 OF 1988@@
CC

A.Achama (D) By Lrs. ...Petitioner

Vs.

State Transport Appellate ...Respondents
Tribunal & Ors.

ORDER@@
CCCC

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Heard Mr. N.D.B.Raju, learned counsel appearing

for the petitioner and Mr. T.V.Ratnam, learned counsel appearing for the respondents. This petition has been filed for review of our order dated 24th July, 2000 in S.L.P.(C) No.13464 of 1988. On consideration of the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties we are not satisfied that a review of our order is called for.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the judgment of the High Court the petitioners' application for variation of the route in the permit now held by him may not be considered. There is no basis for the apprehension. However, we make it clear that if such an application is made by the petitioner the same will be considered and disposed of by the competent authority in accordance with law.

The Review Petition is disposed of.

.SP1

New Delhi;
May 4, 2001.

.....J.
(D.P. MOHAPATRA)
.....J.
(S.N. PHUKAN)