

REGISTRAR COURT. 2

SECTION I

IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s). 8275/2013

M/S UNITED BREWERIES LTD.  
(s)

Appellant

VERSUS

COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX &ANR.  
t(s)

Respondent

(with interim relief and office report)

WITH

C.A. No. 8276/2013  
(With Interim Relief and Office Report)  
C.A. No. 8277/2013  
(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

Date : 03/12/2014 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. A. Raghunath,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Prakash Kumar Singh,Adv.  
Mr. B. V. Balaram Das,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

C.A. No. 8275/2013

The office report is that the respondents have filed the statement of case. The office report proceeds to state that the appellant has failed to file the statement of case, although he was

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by  
Madhu Grover

notified to do so by notice dated 17.4.2014 of the Registry. Order

Date: 2014.12.06

09:40:34 IST

Reason:

XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as

Item No. 27

provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis

containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal (SLP)/Appeal, as statement of case, and does not desire to file any further statement of case. Therefore, in view of the rule position cited the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

C.A. No. 8276 and 8277/2013

The office report is that the appellant and the respondents in both the matters have failed to file the statement of case, although they were notified to do so by notice dated 17.4.2014 of the Registry. Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules, 2013 provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal (SLP)/Appeal, as statement of case, and does not desire to file any further statement of case. The order further provides that if the respondent who has entered appearance does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same. Therefore, in view of the rule position cited above the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

URA)

(M K HANJ

ar  
MG

Registr