

S U P R E M E            C O U R T   O F        I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).18824/2010

ISHWAR SINGH LR.& ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SANT RAM & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief )

Date: 25/07/2011

This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Nikhil Jain,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Chiraranjan Addey,Adv.  
Mr. Daya Krishan Sharma ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Nobody was present for the petitioner on the last date.

Petitioner has failed to take steps as per previous orders.

The ld. Advocate for the petitioner says that there are connected SLPs. However, he is not aware about the numbers and therefore, ask for time to find out such numbers. On this count, matter was Pass Over and taken at the bottom of the Board.

After completing the entire Board, matter was called again. Advocate who was present earlier is not present now.

The ld. Advocate for the petitioner, Mr Nikhil Jain is present. He is pressing to list the matter before the

Registrar's Court No.2 disclosing that SLP(C) Nos. 14536,15210

-2-

Item No.54

and 15211 of 2010 arising from the same impugned order are listed before the Registrar's Court No.2 on 6.8.2010 wherein all the respondents, who are unserved in this SLP, are served and represented by an Advocate.

There is no difficulty in listing all the connected SLPs before one Court. However, it should be clear that if there is a separate SLP, at least one copy of that SLP must be served either on the respondent or the Advocate who has filed appearance for such respondent in any of the connected SLP.

Registry is directed to list all the SLPs before the same Court, either this or Registrar's Court No.2.

List again on 6.8.2011.

(S.G.SHAH)  
Registrar

hj