

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 333 OF 2011

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

RAJU @ RAJESH

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF RAJASTHAN

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for bail,permission to file additional documents)

Date: 29/03/2012

This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Ms.Anu Gupta,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr Manish Singhvi, Adv.  
Mr. Milind Kumar,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The ld. Advocate-on-record, Ms Anu Gupta who is appearing for the appellant through legal aid confirms that she has not received additional documents filed by the respondent on 13.6.2011. The filing memo for additional documents dated 13.6.2011 shows that copy of such additional documents are provided on her behalf to someone named, Anil, since he has endorsed as such. It is surprising to note that

-2-

Item No.76

there is only one Advocate for the appellant and since there is only one appellant and sole respondent, the ld. Advocate

for the respondent has probably given copies of such additional documents to two other persons namely, Amit on behalf of Bhakti and to one Mr Vinod on behalf of S.Ashok

Kumar. The ld. Advocate-on-record, Ms Anu Gupta confirms that she has two office staff namely, Rajesh and Ritesh and

she has not employed anyone named Anil and that her registered clerk is Ritesh.

In view of the above fact, Additional Registrar(Record Room) has to inquire about the endorsement on this filing memo which also discloses one mobile number with the name of Mr Anil. Additional Registrar(Record Room) is permitted to call the person on such mobile number and if nobody is picking the call, he is allowed to inquire from the concerned authorities about whereabouts of such concerned person who owns such mobile at relevant point of time.

The ld. Advocate for the respondent has to clarify on record by proper proof that to whom they have provided copies of additional documents.

This has been a routine difficulty nowadays. Therefore, let there be proper mechanism at the filing centre to recognize proper endorsement of proper Advocates.

-3-

Item No.76

If members of the Bar co-operates with each other, it would be appropriate for them not to allow anybody on their behalf to endorse on the filing memo and whenever they accept documents from the other side, the endorsement must be with their rubber stamp with identity card number of the person who accept such documents.

Copy of this order be forwarded to the E-Committee for doing the needful in view of previous direction.

List again on 1.5.2012.

(S.G.SHAH)  
REGISTRAR

hj