

ITEM NO.MM-B

COURT NO.1

SECTION XVIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) NO.565 OF 2011

ANEES COCKER

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SATYA NAM SHUKLA

Respondent(s)

Date: 08/02/2013 This Petition was mentioned today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL R. DAVE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAMAJIT SEN

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Shomila Bakshi,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

On mentioning, let the matter be taken on Board.

It has been pointed out by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner in the transfer petition that, while disposing of the matter on 24th September, 2012, an observation had been made that on behalf of the wife, it had been indicated that there was not much truth in the transfer petition. The same is an obvious error and, in fact, what was intended was that there was not much truth in the case made out by the respondent. Let the order be corrected and read accordingly.

[T.I. Rajput]
A.R.-cum-P.S.

[Juginder Kaur]
Assistant Registrar

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (C) NO.565 OF 2011

Anees Cocker

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Satya Nam Shukla

...Respondent(s)

O R D E R

On mentioning, let the matter be taken on Board.

It has been pointed out by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner in the transfer petition that, while disposing of the matter on 24th September, 2012, an observation had been made that on behalf of the wife, it had been indicated that there was not much truth in the transfer petition. The same is an obvious error and, in fact, what was intended was that there was not much truth in the case made out by the respondent. Let the order be corrected and read accordingly.

.....CJI.
[ALTAMAS KABIR]

.....J.
[ANIL R. DAVE]

.....J.
[VIKRAMAJIT SEN]

New Delhi,
February 08, 2013.
-tir-