

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. Nos.2-3 In
Civil Appeal No.5811/2000

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

VED PRAKASH KIRPAL & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(For substitution and c/delay in filing appln. for substitution
and office report)

Date : 13/08/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.C. LAHOTI

For Appellant (s) Mr. Satinder S. Gulati, adv.
Mr. Rajeev Sharma, adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. Nidhesh Gupta, adv.
Mr. Naveen Singh, adv.
Ms. Naresh Bakshi, adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Heard learned counsel for the parties.
I.As. 2 and 3 are allowed.
The proposed L.Rs. are permitted to be substituted
and abatement is set aside. The index shall be corrected.
Let notice go to the L.Rs.

.SP1

(Neena Verma)
Court Master

(Prem Prakash)
Court Master