

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 636 OF 2011

SWATI CHOUDHARY @ SWATI SARKAR Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PRASENJIT SARKAR Respondent(s)
(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 28/11/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P. SATHASIVAM
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Aditi Mittal,Adv.
Mr. Sumeet Lall,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Mithilesh Kumar Singh,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard both sides.
The transfer petition is allowed in terms of
the signed order.

[Madhu Bala] [Madhu Sudan]
Sr.PA Court Master
(Signed order is placed on the file)
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 636 OF 2011

SWATI CHOUDHARY @ SWATI SARKAR ...PETITIONER

VERSUS

PRASENJIT SARKAR ...RESPONDENT

O R D E R

Heard both sides.
We are satisfied with the grievance expressed by
the petitioner and we accept the same. Therefore, M.T.S.
Case No.235 of 2010 titled as Prasenjit Sarkar Vs. Swati
Choudhary alias Swati Sarkar pending before the Court of
Principal Judge, Family Court at Ranchi is ordered to be
transferred to the Court of District Judge, Nagpur,
Maharashtra.
The District Judge is directed either to decide
himself or assign it to a competent Court having
jurisdiction.
The transfer petition is, accordingly, allowed.
After transfer of the petition, the transferee

Court is directed to take all endeavour either for amicable settlement or for early decision in the main matter without unnecessary adjournments.

.....J.  
[P. SATHASIVAM]

NEW DELHI  
28th NOVEMBER, 2011

.....J.  
[J. CHELAMESWAR]