

ê\$

SLP(C)No. 17626 OF 1999
ITEM No.26

Court No. 6

SECTION IVB
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.17626/1999
(From the judgment and order dated 3.2.1989 passed by the High Court
of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh in CWP 16511/1998)

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

OM PARKASH SINGLA AND ORS.

Respondent (s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

(With Appln(s). for substitution of L.Rs of the deceased respondent)
With

SLP(C)No.172/2000,SLP(C)No.19334/1999,SLP(C)No.3905-3912/2000

(With applns. for substitution of deceased R. No. 5 in SLP No.
172/2000 and application for substitution In deceased NOs. 6 &7 nd
c/delay in filing substitution applns.and with prayer for interim
relief)

Date : 02/11/2001 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAJENDRA BABU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DORAISWAMY RAJU

For Petitioner (s) Mr. H.S. Munjral, Adv.
Ms. Kanwaljit Kochhar, Adv.
Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Adv.
Mr. R.S. Suri,Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. M Qamruddin, Adv.
Mrs. M Qamaruddin, Adv.
Mr. Ambar Qamaruddin, Adv.
Mr. S.K. Mehta,Adv.

Mr. Ashok K Mahajan, Adv.

Mr. Debasis Misra, Adv.

Mr. S.K. Sabharwal, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

SLP(C) No. 17626/1999 is delinked.
Delay condoned in filing application for substitution.
the applications for substitution are allowed.
Leave granted in all other special leave petitions.

.SP1

Charanjit

[Om Prakash]

L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. OF 2001@@
EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE
(Arising out of SLP(C) 19334/99)

State of Punjab & Anr. ...Appellants

Versus

Kartar Singh & Ors. ...Respondents

With C.A. No. /2001 (@ SLP(C) 172/2000)
C.A. Nos...../2000 (@ SLP(C) 3905-3912/2000)

O R D E R

.SP2
.....L.....I.....J

Leave granted.
The controversy raised in this appeal is identical
to the one considered by this Court in State of Punjab &@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

Ors. Vs. Boota Singh & Anr.- 2000 (3) SCC 733 and State@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC CCCC
of Punjab & Ors. Vs. J.L. Gupta & Ors. - 2000(3) SCC@@
CC

736. Following the said decisions and for the reasons
stated therein, these appeals are allowed in the same
terms as set forth therein and the writ petitions filed
by the respodnents are dismissed.

The learned counsel for the respondents submits
that the respondents suffer great hardship because of
escalation of prices and it is not possible for them to
make both ends meet. In addition to it,they have to
attend to several ailments,which is also quite expensive.
If that is so, it is appropriate for the respondents to
make a representation to the Government and obtain the
relief at their end.

.SP1
.....J
[S. RAJENDRA BABU]

.....J
[DORAISWAMY RAJU]

New Delhi,
November 2, 2001