

Stein Doshi & Bhalla ...Appellant

Versus

Union of India & Ors. ...Respondents

O R D E R

.SP2

.....L.....I.....J
Leave granted.

On the ground that the appellant did not comply with the conditions of the interim order, the interim order was vacated. Thereafter, the High Court proceeded to dispose of the main matter itself on the ground that the appellant did not comply with the conditions of the interim order. That is not a satisfactory way of disposing of the matter. The High Court ought to have heard the main matter and disposed of the same on merits. In the circumstances the appeal is allowed. The matter shall stand remitted to the High Court for fresh consideration in accordance with law in the light of the above observations.

.SP1

.....J
[ S. RAJENDRA BABU ]

.....J
[DORAISWAMY RAJU]

.....J
[ BRIJESH KUMAR]

New Delhi,
October 29, 2001.

.PA

ITEM No.17

Court No. 7

SECTION XIV
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.18150/2000

(From the judgement and order dated 04/05/2000 in CW 3898/94 of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

STEIN DOSHI & BHALLA Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Respondent (s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

Date : 29/10/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAJENDRA BABU  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DORAISWAMY RAJU  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BRIJESH KUMAR

For Petitioner (s) Mr. P. Chitambaram, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Rajesh Prasad Singh, Adv.  
Mr. R.k. Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Rajeev goyal, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. Rakesh Tikku, Adv.  
rr 3 & 4 Mr. Arun K. Sinha, Adv.  
  
Mr. R.N. Trivedi, ASG  
Ms. Rekha Pandey, Adv.  
Mr. Naqvi, Adv.  
Mr. Shreekant N. Terdal, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....J  
.SP2

Leave granted.

The appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order.

.SP1

(Meenu Sethi)  
Court Master

(Om Prakash)  
Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file