

, "  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2882 OF 2001

SHANKAR DAGDU BHOSALE (D) BY LRS.  
...APPELLANTS

VERSUS

PRALHAD BABURAO BHOSALE & OTHERS  
...RESPONDENTS

ORDER

This appeal is directed against the judgment dated 7.4.1999 passed in Writ Petition No. 2375 of 1985 by the High Court of Bombay whereby the writ petition filed by the appellants was dismissed.

During the course of arguments, learned counsel appearing for the parties submit that the parties have entered into an amicable settlement and have produced in the Court a compromise deed duly signed by appellants, respondent No. 1 and their respective learned counsel. The terms of compromise read as under:

1. That total holding of the land is 13 acres and 35 guntas falling in the Survey No. 98 situated at Village Mann in District Pune.
2. That the respondent No. 1 (legal heirs of late Babu Sakharam Bhosle) shall be entitled to 6 (six) acres of land on eastern side.  
Legal heirs of appellant (late Shankar Dagdu Bhosle) shall be entitled to the rest of the land i.e. 7 acres and 35 guntas on the western side.
3. That the entire land shall be vertically divided so that both the parties get the frontage side of road.
4. That the Taluqa Nirikshik Bhumi Abhilek, Taluka Munshi, district Pune shall divide the land as per present terms and shall deliver possession to the parties; if necessary with the help of the police and submit a report to this Hon'ble Court within two weeks from today. If so required, police shall render necessary assistance to the revenue authorities in giving effect to the order of this Hon'ble Court.
5. That the respondent No. 1 has expressed his willingness to purchase 6 acres of land as described above and the appellant has accepted the said offer. The proceeding under Section 32G of the Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Land Act, 1948 shall be confined to the said 6 acres of land and shall be completed within four weeks of the production of the order of this Hon'ble Court to the concerned authority.

We have carefully gone through the terms of compromise.

On consideration of totality of the facts and

circumstances of the case, we accept the terms of compromise and direct that the same be taken on record. The appeal stands disposed of in terms of compromise leaving the parties to bear their own costs.

.....J.  
[ DALVEER BHANDARI ]

.....J.

[ HARJIT SINGH BEDI ]

NEW DELHI  
MARCH 17, 2009.  
ITEM NO.101

COURT NO. 9

SECTION IX

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS  
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 2882 OF 2001

SHANKAR DAGDU BHOSALE (D) BY LRS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

PRALHAD BABURAO BHOSALE & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With office report for direction )

Date: 17/03/2009 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DALVEER BHANDARI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARJIT SINGH BEDI

For Appellant(s) Mr. Vijay Hansaria, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Vinod Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Ambika Ray, for  
Mr. K.L. Taneja, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Makarand D. Adkar, Adv.  
Mr. Vishwajit Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
ORDER

The appeal stands disposed of in terms of signed  
order.

(Pardeep Kumar)  
Court Master

(Neeru Bala Vij)  
Court Master

[SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE ]