

ITEM NO.11

COURT NO.7

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I.A.NOS. 8-9, CRL.MP NO...../2010 IN I.A.NO. 8, I.A. NOS. 10, 13-24, I.A.NOS.1-165 AND I.A. NO.1 IN I.A. NO.58 IN I.A.NOS.8 & 9 IN WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(S).876/1996

OKHLA ENCLAVE PLOT HOLDERS WEL. ASON.

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

U O I & ORS

RESPONDENT(S)

(FOR PERMISSION AND IMPLEADMENT AND DIRECTIONS AND CLARIFICATION AND OFFICE REPORT)

WITH

I.A.NOS.11-12/2007 IN W.P.(C) NO. 477/1996  
(FOR DIRECTIONS AND OFFICE REPORT)

I.A. NO.9/2011 IN W.P.(C) NO. 792/1996  
(FOR DIRECTIONS)

I.A. NO.44/2013 IN W.P.(C) NO. 113/1996  
(FOR DIRECTIONS AND OFFICE REPORT)

W.P. (C) NO.1037/2014  
(WITH APPLN.(S) FOR STAY)

W.P.(C) NO.27/2015  
(WITH APPLN.(S) FOR STAY AND OFFICE REPORT)

Date : 10/02/2015 These cases were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAFULLA C. PANT

Mr. M. Vijay Kumar, Adv.  
For Mr. Raju Ramachandran, Sr. Adv.(A.C.)

For Parties (s)

Ms. Sumita Hazarika, Adv.

Mr. P.K. Dey, Adv.

Ms. G. Dara, Adv.

Mr. Kumar Parimal, Adv.

Mr. D. S. Mahra, Adv.

Mr. P.K. Dey, Adv.

Mr. T.A.Khan, Adv.

Mr. M. Khairati, Adv.

Mr. B.V. Balram Das, Adv.

Mr. E. C. Vidya Sagar, Adv.

Mr. Ranbir Singh Yadav, Adv.

Mr. B.A. Khan, Sr. Adv.

Mr. P. Narasimhan, Adv.

Mr. Prakash Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mr. B.P. Jad, Adv.

Mr. Arup Banerjee, Adv.

Petitioner-in-person

Mr. Pramod Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Amar Pal, Adv.

Mr. G. Ramakrishna Prasad, Adv.

Mr. P. N. Puri, Adv.

Mr. S. Rajappa, Adv.

Dr. Puran Chand, Adv.

Mr. Amit Pawan, Adv.

Mr. Abhishek A., Adv.

Mr. Shailendra Kumar, Adv.

Mr. S. Prakash Tiwari, Adv.

Mr. Sudarshan Rajan, Adv.  
Mr. Shriya Raj Chauhan, Adv.

Mr. Md. Shahid Anwar, Adv.

Mr. Dinesh Chandra Pandey, Adv.  
Mr. U.P. Singh, Adv.

Mr. Munawwar Naseem, Adv.

Mr. Brijendra Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Anoop Kr. Srivastva, Adv.

Ms. Jyotika Kalra, Adv.  
Mr. A. Deb, Adv.

Mr. K.N. Madhusoodhanan, Adv.  
Mr. T.G. Narayanan Nair, Adv.

Mr. Arun K. Sinha, Adv.

Mr. Yash Pal Dhingra, Adv.

Dr. S. K. Verma, Adv.

Mr. Bimal Roy Jad, Adv.

Mr. Vipin Gogia, Adv.  
Ms. Jaspreet Gogia, Adv.

Mr. Shekhar Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Shailendra Bhardwaj, Adv.

Ms. Puja Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Piyush Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Sadhana Sandhu, Adv.

Mrs Rani Chhabra, Adv.

Mr. V. Sivasubramanian, Adv.

Mr. P. Parmeswaran, Adv.  
Mr. K.B. Sounder Rajan, Adv.  
Mr. Subash Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Soumyajit Pani, Adv.  
Mr. Vinodh Kanna B., Adv.  
Mr. Vikrant Yadav, Adv.  
Mr. M.C. Dhingra, Adv.  
Mr. C.U. Singh, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Ajay Bhargava, Adv.  
Ms. Vanita Bhargava, Adv.  
Mr. Nitin Mishra, Adv.  
For M/s Khaitan & Co., AOR  
Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. H. K. Puri, Adv.  
Mr. Kishan Datta, Adv.  
Mr. Subramonium Prasad, Adv.  
Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.  
Mr. Prem Malhotra, Adv.  
Ms. Minakshi Vij, Adv.  
Dr. Monika Gusain, Adv.  
Mr. Rana Mukherjee, Adv.  
Mr. Azim H. Laskar, Adv.  
Mr. Sachin Das, Adv.  
Mr. Abhijit Sengupta, Adv.  
Mr. T.V. George, Adv.  
Mr. Mushtaq Ahmad, Adv.

Ms. Sunita Arora, Adv.  
Mr. KrishanKumar, Adv.  
Mr. Mohit D. Ram, Adv.

Ms. Anubha Agarwal, Adv.  
Mr. Ambuj Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Shailender Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Arpit Rai, Adv.  
Mr. Aviral Kashyap, Adv.

Ms. Susmita Lal, Adv.  
Mr. D'AsheshLal, Adv.  
Mr. Dhananjay Sahi, Adv.

Mr. Anil Grover, AAG  
Ms. Noopur Singhal, Adv.

Mr. Subhro Sanyal, Adv.  
Mr. Kumar Rajiv, Adv.

Mr. B.S. Maan, Adv.  
Ms. Simita Maan, Adv.  
Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. M.A. Chinnasamy, Adv.

Mr. Rahul Rathore, Adv.  
Ms. Priyanjali Singh, Adv.

Mr. Vijay Nair, Adv.  
Ms. Neehariya Aggarwal, Adv.  
Mr. Jay Kishor Singh, Adv.

Mr. N.K. Verma, Adv.  
Mr. Rama Shankar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

We have perused the preliminary report of the learned Amicus Curiae. At the outset, we make it clear that the report that the learned Amicus Curiae is required to submit to the Court is a compilation of the relevant facts in seriatum indicating, inter alia, the claims and counter-claims of the various parties before the Court and the orders passed with regard to the same. The learned Amicus Curiae is not required to give any hearing to any of the contesting parties or to take any material or application(s)/document(s) from any of such parties. The report to be submitted by the learned Amicus Curiae will be solely on the basis of the materials already on record. The above clarification has become necessary in view of certain submissions made before us.

Having considered the preliminary report of the learned Amicus Curiae, we appoint Ms. Anitha Shenoy, Advocate-on-Record as the co-Amicus Curiae who will inspect the records along with a team of junior advocates of her choice. Thereafter, in consultation with Shri Raju

Ramachandran, learned Amicus Curiae, the requisite report will be submitted within a period of four weeks from today.

It is stated at the Bar that Writ petition (Civil) Nos.1064/2014, 2/2015, 3/2015 and 6/2015 are similar to the present matters and may be heard along with the present matters. It is ordered accordingly.

List the matters on 10<sup>th</sup> March, 2015.

[VINOD LAKHINA]  
COURT MASTER

[ASHA SONI]  
COURT MASTER