

â

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NO.964 OF 2009

SURENDRA PATWA

APPELLANT

VERSUS

RAJESH PATEL

RESPONDENT

O R D E R

Despite grant of sufficient time, the appellant has not filed the statement of case as laid down under Order XV Rule 35 of the Supreme Court Rules. It only shows that the appellant may not be interested in pursuing the matter. Consequently, the Civil Appeal is dismissed.

.....J.
(H.L. DATTU)

NEW DELHI;
NOVEMBER 29, 2011
ITEM NO.51
(In Chambers)

COURT NO.11

SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 964 OF 2009

SURENDRA PATWA

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

RAJESH PATEL

Respondent(s)

Date: 29/11/2011 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. DATTU

For Appellant(s) Mr. Irshad Ahmad, Adv. (NP)

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Civil Appeal is dismissed, in terms of the signed
order.

(G.V.Ramana)
Court Master

(Veena Khera)
Court Master

(signed order is placed on the file)