

SUPR EME COUR T OF I ND I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.K GUPTA

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).14392/2008

A.RAHIMHULLA &amp; ORS. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SHEKAN BAAN &amp; ORS. Respondent(s)

Date: 02/09/2009 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. S.J. Aristotle, adv.  
Ms. Priya Aristotle, Adv.  
Mr. Mayil Samy, Adv.  
Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. L. Roshmani, Adv.  
Mr. Somiran Sharma, Adv.UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
ORDER

Despite order dated 4.8.2009 of last chance, no  
counter affidavit has been filed by respondent Nos.11 to 13.

Respondent Nos.2 to 5, 8 and 9 have been served but  
there is no appearance.

As regards service of respondent Nos.6 and 7 is  
concerned, the learned counsel for the petitioners submitted  
that the petitioners are poor and it will be expensive for them  
to serve respondent Nos.6 and 7 by publication.

Item No.57

-2-

Fresh steps for service of notice on respondent Nos.6  
and 7, as prayed by the learned counsel for the petitioners are  
allowed by dasti mode. Fresh steps be taken within two weeks.

File affidavit with proof of dasti service within four  
weeks.

Petitioners to cure the defect in the application for  
substitution of deceased respondent Nos.1 and 10 within two

weeks.

Office to verify if the legal representatives of deceased respondent Nos.1 and 10 are the same from the record of the High Court.

Registry to trace out the original affidavit of dasti service as well as original proof of service till then.

List again on 6.11.2009.

(M.K. GUPTA)  
Registrar

rd