

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Cr1)... 2 0 0 8

(From the judgement and order dated 0 7 / 0 1 / 2 0 0 8 in MCRC(A) No. 4 7 8 / 2 0 0 7
of

The HIGH COUR T OF CHAT T I S G A R H AT BI L A S P U R)

YOGI T A BAI

Petitioner(s)

VE R S U S

STA T E OF CHHAT T I S G A R H

Respondent(s)

(With CR LM P No.9 7 2 0 / 2 0 0 8 - for c/delay in filing SL P)

Date: 0 9 / 0 6 / 2 0 0 8 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORA M :

HON' B L E Dr. JUS T I C E ARI J I T PA S A Y A T

HON' B L E MR. JUS T I C E P. P . NAOL E K A R

(VACAT IO N B E N C H)

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Raj Ku m a r Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Sunil Ku m a r Gupta, Adv.

Mr. P r adeep K. Singh, Adv.

Mr. Dhar a m Bir Raj Vohra, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
OR D E R

Delay condoned.

Heard.

We are not inclined to accept the prayer for protection in

terms of Section 438 Cr. P.C. The special leave petition
is,

accordingly, dismissed. However, if the petitioner surrenders before

the concerned court and moves for bail, the court would do well to

dispose of the

: 2 :

application on the day it is presented. Needless to say that before
the application for bail is moved, the prosecuting agency shall be
given intimation as to the date on which the bail application is
proposed to be moved. Our rejecting prayer to extend the benefit in
terms of Section 4 3 8 Cr. P.C. shall not be construed as if we have
expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

(PA W A N KUMA R)
COURT MAS T E R

(VI J A Y AGGA R W A L)
COUR T MAS T E R