

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 736 OF 2005

CHANDRAMANI KUMARI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

VIVEK RANJAN

Respondent(s)

(with appln(s) for stay)

Date: 27/02/2006 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. SRIKRISHNA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TARUN CHATTERJEE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Shiv Mangal Sharma, Adv.

Mr. S.B. Upadhyay, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Anshul Raj, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mr. T. Mahipal, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The Transfer Petition is allowed in terms of the  
signed order.

Bhatia)  
aster

(K.K. Chawla)  
Court Master

(Radha R.  
Court M

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) NO.736 OF 2005

CHANDRAMANI KUMARI

Petitioner(  
s)

VERSUS

VIVEK RANJAN

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

transfer of case This is a Transfer Petition filed by the wife seeking

titled as "Vivek Ranjan Versus Chandramani Kumari" No.70 of 2004 instituted

by the husband in the Court of District Judge, Gaya, Bihar to District Court

Ranchi, Jharkhand.

een the two The Petitioner is a lady who will have to shuttle betw

places. She has no source of income. She is totally dependent for herself and

her daughter, who is three and a half years old, upon her two brothers who

themselves are struggling for their livelihood, and her old parents.

--:2:--

In these circumstances, we are satisfied that the Transfer Petition needs to be allowed. The Transfer Petition is allowed. Case titled as "Vivek Ranjan Versus Chandramani Kumari" No.70 of 2004 is transferred from the Court of District Judge, Gaya, Bihar to District Court Ranchi, Jharkhand. No order as to costs.

.....J.

(B.N. Srikrishna)

.....J.

(Tarun Chatterjee)

New Delhi;

February 27, 2006.