

ITEM NO.110

COURT NO.13

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Criminal Appeal No(s). 1110/2013

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

MOHAMMAD TAHER ABDUL ANIS & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln. (s) for stay and office report)

WITH

CrI.A. No. 1111/2013

Date : 14/10/2015 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K. AGRAWAL

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Nishant Ramakantrao Katneshwarkar, Adv.

Mr. Sushil Karanjkar, Adv.

Mr. K. N. Rai, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ratan Kumar Choudhuri, Adv.

Mr. Sudhanshu S. Choudhari, Adv.

Mr. Nishant Ramakantrao Katneshwarkar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Criminal Appeals are dismissed in terms of the signed order.

Pending application(s), if any, are also disposed of.

(VISHAL ANAND)
COURT MASTER

(SNEH LATA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER

(Signed Order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Criminal Appeal No(s). 1110/2013

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

MOHAMMAD TAHER ABDUL ANIS & ANR.

Respondent(s)

WITH

Criminal Appeal No. 1111/2013

ABDUL MAJID

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

MOHAMMAD TAHER ABDUL ANIS & ANR.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have heard Mr. Sushil Karanjkar, learned counsel appearing for the appellant - complainant in Criminal Appeal No.1111 of 2013 at length today.

We do not find any reason to interfere with the impugned Judgment and Order dated 8-12-2010 so passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Bench at Aurangabad.

In view of that, we find no merit in the appeal filed by the appellant - complainant.

Since the appeal filed by the complainant is dismissed, nothing remains in the Criminal Appeal filed by the State of Maharashtra and hence the same is also dismissed.

Accordingly, both the Criminal Appeals are dismissed.

.....J
(PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE)

.....J
(R.K. AGRAWAL)

NEW DELHI;
14TH OCTOBER, 2015.

