

S U P R E M E                      C O U R T   O F       I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).13017/2011

RAJINDER KUMAR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

GURVINDER SINGH & ORS.  
(With prayer for interim relief)

Respondent(s)

Date: 12/01/2012            This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Dr. I.B Gaur, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

It seems that address of respondent Nos.6 and 7 are not properly disclosed in SLP Memo.                      Petitioner as well as Registry has to verify the addresses, as disclosed on page 11(Annexure P-I).                      Probably respondent No.6 is residing with respondent No.5, who is already served.                      Therefore, it would be very easy for the petitioner to confirm service of respondent No.6 through respondent No.5; whereas address of respondent No.7 is not properly disclosed, at least House No. is wrongly typed and, therefore, both the notices could not be served.

Item No.49

-2-

Let there be a fresh dasti notice permitted to be served through the nearest civil court or Trial Court, if process fee and spare copy are                      paid/filed before 21.1.2012 else list before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for non-prosecution.

If notice is issued, list again on 1.3.2012.

(S.G. SHAH)  
REGISTRAR

rd