

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 690/2006

U.S. Chauhan ..Appellant

versus

Rajeev Malhotra and others ..Respondents

O R D E R

Learned counsel for the appellant states, that he has no instructions in the matter. The appellant having not furnished any instructions, we find no justification in retaining the instant appeal on the Board, specially keeping in mind that the instant appeal was filed in the year 2006, and more than ten years have elapsed since then. The same is accordingly hereby dismissed.

In the above view of the matter, the appellant shall be taken into custody forthwith, to suffer the sentence imposed on him, by the impugned order.

.....CJI
[JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR]

NEW DELHI;
JANUARY 18, 2017

.....J.
[Dr. D.Y. CHANDRACHUD]

ITEM NO.102

COURT NO.1

SECTION IIC

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Criminal Appeal No(s). 690/2006

U.S.CHAUHAN & ETC.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

RAJEEV MALHOTRA & ORS
(with office report)

Respondent(s)

Date : 18/01/2017 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD

For Appellant(s) Mr. Surya Kant,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ashok Mathur,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

The appellant shall be taken into custody forthwith, to
suffer the sentence imposed on him, by the impugned order.

(Renuka Sadana)
Assistant Registrar

(Parveen Kumar)
AR-cum-PS

[signed order is placed on the file]