

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 217 OF 2011

JUSTICE P.D. DINAKARAN

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

HON'BLE JUDGES INQUIRY COMMITTEE & ORS. Respondent(s)
(With appln(s) for intervention, stay, permission to file
additional documents)

WITH W.P(C) NO. 218 of 2011
(With appln. for ex-parte stay and intervention and office report)

Date: 18/05/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD

For Petitioner(s) Mr. A.Sharan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Romy Chacko, Adv.
Mr. Nithin Meshram, Adv.
Mr. Amit Anand Tiwari, Adv.
Mr. Vivek Singh, Adv.
Mr. Somesh Jha, Adv.
Mr. Ashutosh Jha, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Prashant Bhushan, Adv.
Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, Adv.
Mr. Abhimanu Shrestha, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Singh, Adv.

Mr. Basava Prabhu S.Patil, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Romy Chacko, Adv.
Mr. Nithin Meshram, Adv.

Mr. U.U.Lalit, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Nitin Sangra, Adv.
Mr. A. Radhakrishnan, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

I.A. No. 2 of 2011 in WP(C) Nos. 217 of 2011 and 218 of 2011:
By this order we are disposing of I.A. No. 2 of 2011

filed by the Forum for Judicial Accountability, Chennai for
permission to act as intervenor in the proceedings of writ
petitions.

We have heard Shri Prashant Bhushan, learned counsel
for the applicant, Shri A.Sharan, learned senior counsel
for the petitioner and Shri U.U.Lalit, learned senior
counsel appearing for the Committee and perused the record.
We have also gone through the judgments of this Court in
Sub-Committee on Judicial Accountability Vs. Union of

India, 1991 (4) SCC 699 and Krishna Swami
India 1992 (4) SCC 605.

Vs. Union of

The applications are allowed to the extent of granting leave to the applicant to act as intervenor and address the court on the question of law raised in the writ petition.

However, the applicant shall not be entitled to file pleadings, affidavits or written submissions.

W.P.(C) No. 217 of 2011:

Shri A.Sharan, learned senior counsel appearing for petitioner made further submissions. After he concluded his arguments, Shri U.U.Lalit, learned senior counsel appearing for respondent No. 1 commenced his arguments, which remained inconclusive.

For further arguments, the case is adjourned to 19.05.2011.

(Shashi Sareen)
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)
Court Master