

ITEM NO.59 REGISTRAR COURT.2 SECTION XV
SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH
Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).12675/2008

RAMZAN ALI & ANR. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF RAJASTHAN & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)
WITH SLP(C) NO. 19907-19908 of 2008
(With office report)

Date: 05/02/2009 This Petition was called on for hearing today.
For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Ashwani Garg, Adv.
Mr. Vijay Kumar, Adv.

Mr. J.B. Prakash, Adv.
Ms. Shobha

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Madhur Dadlani, Adv.
Mr. Surya Kant, Adv.

Mr. Aruneshwar Gupta, Adv

Mr. Siddharth Jain, Adv.
Mr. Sunil Kumar Jain, Adv

Mr. Khwairakpam Nobin Singh, Adv

Mr. S.S. Munde, Adv.
Mr. Satyendra Kumar, Adv

Mr. Sunil Kumar Jain

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

Learned Advocate Ms. Madhur Dadlani, appearing on behalf of Mr. Surya
Kant, Advocate-on-Record for the respondent No.3 states that they have filed counter
affidavit in SLP (C) 12675/2008 and, therefore, they do not intend to file separate
counter affidavit in the connected SLPs.

There is no request for counter affidavit by remaining respondents. Registry
has to take appropriate steps as per Rules
(S.G.SHAH)

va Registrar

ITEM NO.59 REGISTRAR COURT.2 SECTION XV
SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH
Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).12675/2008

RAMZAN ALI & ANR. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF RAJASTHAN & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 19907-19908 of 2008
(With office report)

Date: 05/02/2009 This Petition was called on for hearing today.
For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Ashwani Garg, Adv.
Mr. Vijay Kumar, Adv.

Mr. J.B. Prakash, Adv.
Ms. Shobha

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Madhur Dadlani, Adv.
Mr. Surya Kant, Adv.

Mr. Aruneshwar Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Siddharth Jain, Adv.
Mr. Sunil Kumar Jain, Adv.

Mr. Khwairakpam Nobin Singh, Adv.

Mr. S.S. Munde, Adv.
Mr. Satyendra Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Jain

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

Learned Advocate Ms. Madhur Dadlani, appearing on behalf of Mr. Surya Kant, Advocate-on-Record for the respondent No.3 states that they have filed counter affidavit in SLP (C) 12675/2008 and, therefore, they do not intend to file separate counter affidavit in the connected SLPs.

There is no request for counter affidavit by remaining respondents. Registry has to take appropriate steps as per Rules
(S.G.SHAH)
va Registrar