

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).12675/2008

(From the judgement and order dated 29/02/2008 in DBCWP No.8637/2007 of  
The HIGH COURT OF RAJASTHAN AT JAIPUR)

RAMZAN ALI & ANR. Petitioner(s)  
VERSUS  
STATE OF RAJASTHAN & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for placing addl.facts and documents on record and  
permission to file addl.documents and directions and prayer for interim  
relief and office report) (For final disposal)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 19907-19908 of 2008  
(With office report) (For final disposal)

SLP(C) NO. 20966 of 2008  
(With prayer for interim relief and office report)  
(For final disposal)

Date: 24/09/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. GOKHALE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Vijay Kumar, Adv.(NP)

Mr. Pratap Aggarwal, Adv.  
Mr. Lal Pratap Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Umesh Pratap Singh, Adv.  
Ms. N.Annapoorani, Adv.

Ms. Shobha, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Surya Kant, Adv.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Jain, Adv  
Mr. Sapam Biswajit Meitei, Adv.  
Mr. Khwairakpam Nobin Singh, Adv

Mr. S.C. Paul, Adv.  
Mr. Resham Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Roopa Paul, Adv.  
Mr. Rahul Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Ashok Yadav, Adv.  
Mr. Satyendra Kumar, Adv

...2/-

:2:

Dr. Manish Singhvi, AAG, Rajasthan  
Mr. Amit Lubhaya, Adv.  
Mr. Irshad Ahmad, Adv.  
Mr. Milind Kumar, Adv

Mr. Sunil Kumar Jain, Adv.

Mr. Ansar Ahmad Chaudhary, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

SLP(C) No.12675/2008:

None present for the petitioners when the matter is called out. The special leave petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

SLP(C) No.20966/2008:

Mr. Pradeep Aggarwal, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has instructed him not to press this petition. The special leave petition is dismissed as not pressed.

SLP(C) Nos.19907-19908/2008:

Heard Ms. Shobha learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the counsel for respondents.

Even accepting that it is a hard case, since the petitioner claims to have got better marks than a selected candidate it is not possible to grant relief to the petitioner considering that a number of processes for selection have taken place after the one in which the petitioner had participated in the year 2001. An order directing his appointment cannot now be made.

The special leave petitions are dismissed.

[ Usha Bhardwaj ]  
A.R-cum-P.S.

[ Sneh Lata Sharma ]  
Court Master