

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.1394 OF 2008

MOHAMMED SHAMSHUDDIN ALI (DEAD)

..APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH

..RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. This appeal is directed against the judgment and order passed by the High Court of Madhya Pradesh at Jabalpur in Criminal Appeal No.391 of 1990, dated 28.08.2006.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties to the lis.

3. We are informed by learned counsel appearing for the appellant/ accused that the appellant has expired some time in the year 2011 and the legal representatives of the deceased-appellant have not yet filed application for substitution to come on record. It is only now the learned counsel seeks to make an application to bring the legal representatives of

deceased-appellant on record.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by  
Ramana Venkata Ganti  
Date: 2015.09.01

4.  
15:24:13 IST  
Reason:

In our view, the explanation offered for filing such an application as also for condonation of delay is

2

wholly unsatisfactory. We, therefore, do not intend to accept the explanation offered by the learned counsel for the deceased-appellant for bringing the legal representatives of the deceased-appellant on record.



(G.V.Ramana)

AR-cum-PS

(Signed order is placed on the file)

(Vinod Kulvi)

Asstt.Registrar