

## S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

## RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).14366/2006  
(From the judgement and order dated 25/02/2006 in WP No. 817/2005  
of The HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL)

S.K.AGARWAL

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE BANK OF INDIA &amp; 25 OTHERS

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief )

Date: 08/09/2006 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.P. SINGH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALTAMAS KABIR

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Ranjit Saxena, Adv.

Ms. A. Pandey, Adv.

Mr. Dinesh Tiwari, Adv.

Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

We have heard counsel for the petitioner.

The writ petition filed by the petitioner was dismissed by the High Court since it involved

disputed questions of facts and the High Court directed the petitioner to approach the competent civil

court for the redressal of his grievances.

Counsel for the petitioner states that if the petitioner is relegated to a civil suit, it may

take decades before it is decided. He points out that the petitioner

has a remedy under the Employees

Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 and the Scheme framed under the said Act.

We observe that it will be open to the petitioner to seek appropriate relief before the appropriate forum.

The special leave petition is dismissed with the abovesaid observation.

(Ajay Kr. Jain)

(Vijay Dhawan)

Court Master

Court Master