

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
I.A. NOS.1 & 2
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO.7895 OF 2002

DHARAM SINGHAPPELLANT

VERSUS

SURENDRA SINGH . . .RESPONDENT

WITH

I.A. Nos.1 & 2 in Civil Appeal NO.7896 of 2002
I.A. Nos.1 & 2 in Civil Appeal NO.7897 of 2002

O R D E R

These applications have been filed for restoration of civil appeals, which have been dismissed for default by common order dated 8th May, 2008.

They are barred by limitation by 1439 days, 1865 days and 1502 days respectively and accordingly applications have been filed for condonation of delay.

.2/-

: 2 :

We have heard Mr. Nagendra Rai, Senior Counsel for the appellant.

We are not satisfied with the cause shown for condoning these huge delays.

The applications, filed for condonation of delay, are therefore rejected.

Consequently, the applications filed for restoration of appeals stand dismissed.

.J
[Chandramauli Kr. Prasad]

.J
[V. Gopala Gowda]

New Delhi;
April 01, 2013.

ITEM NO.3

COURT NO.12

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
I.A. NOS.1&2
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s).7895 OF 2002

DHARAM SINGH

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

SURENDRA SINGH

Respondent(s)

(For restoration and c/delay in filing application for restoration with office report)

WITH I.A. Nos.1& 2 in Civil Appeal NO.7896 of 2002

(For restoration and c/delay in filing restoration with office report)

I.A. Nos.1&2 in Civil Appeal NO.7897 of 2002

(For restoration and c/delay in filing With office report)

Date: 01/04/2013 These applications were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. GOPALA GOWDA

For Appellant(s) Mr. Nagendra Rai, Sr. Adv.

Mr. K.K. Tyagi, Adv.

Mr. P. Narasimhan, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Anil Nag, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

These applications have been filed for restoration of civil appeals, which have been dismissed for default by common order dated 8th May, 2008.

They are barred by limitation by 1439 days, 1865 days and 1502 days respectively and accordingly applications have been filed for condonation of delay.

.....2/-

: 2 :

We have heard Mr. Nagendra Rai, Senior Counsel for the appellant.

We are not satisfied with the cause shown for condoning these huge delays.

The applications, filed for condonation of delay, are therefore rejected.

Consequently, the applications filed for restoration of appeals stand dismissed.

(Sanjay Kumar)

Court Master |

(Indu Satija)

| Court Master |

(Signed order is placed on the file)