

ITEM NO.202

COURT NO.13

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 12264/2011  
(Arising out of the impugned final order dated 19th April, 2011  
passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay in Notice of  
motion No. 3304 of 2010 in Appeal No 1135 of 2010 in Notice of  
motion No. 715 of 2010 in Suit No. 806 of 2009)

VADILAL NANDLAL SHAH &amp; ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAMESHCHANDRA DHARAMDAS MEHTA &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for directions and permission to file additional  
affidavit and interim relief and office report)  
(For final disposal)

Date : 06/01/2015 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAMAJIT SEN  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. NAGAPPAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. R.P. Bhat, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Shamik Sajanwala, Adv.  
Mr. Abhinav Agrawal, Adv.  
Mr. Rishi Agrawala, Adv.  
Mr. E. C. Agrawala, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Vasuman Khandelwal, Adv.  
Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, Adv.

Mr. P.H. Parekh, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Sumit goel, Adv.  
Mr. Aditya Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. S. Lakshmi, Adv.  
Mr. Vishal Prasad, Adv.  
M/s. Parekh & Co., Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

We have heard the learned counsel for the parties and  
have perused the Impugned order, by which the interim

arrangements in terms of Prayer (C) in the Plaint was vacated. We think that declining interim relief has the effect of rendering the appeal infructuous. It is for this reason that the interim order had been passed by this Court in these proceedings as far back as on 29.4.2011.

The Special Leave Petition is disposed of with a request to the High Court to take up the Appeal No. 1135 of 2010 expeditiously and decide it within six months from today. Till then the parties are directed to maintain status quo.

(NEELAM GULATI)  
COURT MASTER

(SAROJ SAINI)  
COURT MASTER