

H
SLP(C)No. 13640-13641 OF 2004

ITEM No.17

Court No. 7

SECTION IVB
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.13640-13641/2004

(From the judgement and order dated 29/05/2002 & 11/2/2004 in
CWP 16165/99 and RP 265/2002 of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH)

JAGMOHAN SINGH

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

U.T., CHANDIGARH & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(With appln(s). for c/delay in filing SLP)

Date : 23/08/2004 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

For Petitioner (s)Mr. Vikas Jain, adv.
Mr. Gaurav Dhingra, Adv.
Mr. M.C. Dhingra,Adv.

For all the
Respondent (s)Ms. Kamini Jaiswal,Adv.
Ms. Inklea Barooah, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

It appears that pursuant to order dated 12th July, 2004, learned counsel has filed vakalatnama on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 only, but no vakalatnama has been filed on behalf of respondent No. 3. One week's time is allowed to file vakalatnama on behalf of the said respondent.

....2/-

- 2 -

It has been stated by learned counsel appearing for the respondents that no counter affidavit is necessary to be filed in this case.

Put up these petitions after two weeks. On the next day, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner should point out whether cases of other similarly situated persons were rejected by the High Court on the ground of alternative remedy, as disputed question of facts were involved therein and this Court has entertained special leave petitions against the same.

[Alka Dudeja] [Om Prakash]
Court Master Court Master