

ã
ITEM NO.59

COURT NO.3

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 5005 OF 2012

ANIL KUMAR & ANR.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

DHARAMVIR SOOD & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 04/02/2013 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. GOKHALE

For Appellant(s) Mr. Rohit Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Himanshu Shekhar, Adv.
Mr. Paban K Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Gargi B. Bharali, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

On Friday, the case was adjourned at the request of learned counsel for the appellants, who had circulated a letter for that purpose. However, order adjourning the case was not recorded because counsel for respondent No.1 objected by saying that he was not given an advance intimation by the appellants' counsel about the proposed adjournment.

Today, the Court Master placed before us the original letter circulated by learned counsel for the appellants which contains an endorsement made by the clerk of the counsel for respondent No.1 about receipt of the letter.

Learned counsel for respondent No.1 expressed regrets for making an incorrect statement.

Now, the case is adjourned for three weeks.

| (Parveen Kr.Chawla)
| Court Master

| | (Phoolan Wati Arora)
| | Court Master