



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. /2026
[@ SLP [CRL.] NO.4592/2026]

MOHD RASID

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appellant seeks bail in connection with FIR No. 504/2023, dated 26.10.2023, registered at Police Station - Parao Ambala Cantt, District - Ambala, for the offences punishable under Sections 506, 420 and 406 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties.

Learned counsel appearing for the appellant submitted that the appellant has been under incarceration for more than one year and three months. It is further submitted that only five witnesses have been

examined so far by the Trial Court and fourteen witnesses are yet to be examined.

Learned AAG appearing for the State vehemently opposed the grant of bail, *inter alia*, contending that not only are the allegations against the appellant serious, but there are also prior criminal antecedents in which the appellant is allegedly involved.

Learned AAG appearing for the State also submitted that the case against the appellant is that by promising Government jobs to the complainant's nephew and daughter-in-law, he has taken a sum of Rs. 28 lakhs from the complainant. Learned counsel appearing for the appellant disputed the correctness of the said statement because it is only Rs.4 lakhs that the appellant has allegedly received from the complainant as per the disclosure statement.

Be that as it may, the appellant has been under incarceration for more than one year and three months. Hence, we are of the

view that his continued custody is not required in the given facts of the case. We also take note of the fact that the allegations against the appellant in the similar cases are more or less the same.

In such view of the matter, we are inclined to set aside the impugned order and grant bail to the appellant.

Accordingly, the impugned order stands set aside and the appellant is granted bail on terms and conditions to the satisfaction of the concerned Trial Court.

The appeal stands allowed, accordingly.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
[M.M. SUNDRESH]

.....J.
[NONGMEIKAPAM KOTISWAR SINGH]

NEW DELHI;
MAY 15, 2026.

ITEM NO.10

COURT NO.5

SECTION II-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.)
No(s). 4592/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order
dated 19-02-2026 in CRMM No. 41900/2025 passed by
the High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh]

MOHD RASID

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

Respondent(s)

Date : 15-05-2026 This petition was called on for
hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NONGMEIKAPAM KOTISWAR SINGH

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Abhimanyu Tewari, AOR
Mr. Amitabh Tewari, Adv.
Mr. Ishaan Mudeja, Adv.
Mr. Siddhant Saroha, Adv.
Mr. Sidhant Awasthy, Adv.
Mr. Rupendra Singh Chauhan,
Adv.
Mr. Kanishk Bhatt, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Anurag Kulharia, A.A.G.
Mr. Akshay Amritanshu, AOR
Mr. Sarthak Srivastava, Adv.
Dr. Navya Jannu, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made
the following
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appellant is granted bail on terms and conditions to the satisfaction of the Trial Court.

The appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(ASHA SUNDRIYAL)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(POONAM VAID)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

[Signed order is placed on the file]